

**GROSSMAN FORESTRY COMPANY** Consulting Foresters

1013 S. Newberry Ave. \* P.O. Box 426 \* Newberry, Michigan 49868

Gerald H. Grossman Phone: (906) 293-8707 Fax: (906) 293-8198

E-mail: gfco@up.net

Web: www.grossmanforestry.com

Senate Natural Resources, Environment & Great Lakes Committee c/o Lauren Michalak, Committee Clerk -- VIA E-mail

May 29, 2012

**RE:** Committee Meeting 5/31/12

As a member of the Governor's appointed Timber Advisory Council I will be at that meeting in Gaylord on 5/30/12 & 5/31/12. Since I cannot be in attendance, my written comments are below.

SB 1060 - SUPPORT

SB 1057 – STRONGLY OPPOSE

SB 1059 – STRONGLY OPPOSE

SB 1062 - OPPOSE

SB 1060	This bill appears to be the same as HB 4302 which "fixes" the Qualified Forestry Act (QFA) withdrawal fees.				
SB 1057					
3B 1037	This bill increases the ability of Conservation Districts (CDs) to supply goods and				
	services to Michigan forestland owners in direct competition with existing Michigan				
	businesses. It will significantly <u>DECREASE</u> the quantity and quality of forestry services				
1	available for Michigan landowners while utilizing taxpayer funds to compete with private				
	businesses. There are much better positive alternatives. Detailed comments have been				
	supplied in the past and can be re-submitted upon request.				
SB 1059	1. Creates expensive and un-necessary oversight by Conservation Districts (CDs), as				
	opposed to the House version which places the responsibility of conformance on the				
	landowner & the landowner's agent with limited compliance audits by the DNR.				
	a. CD capacity is very limited and variable across the State.				
	b. CD forestry programs are highly variable and not consistent.				
	c. CD forestry programs have historically been based on entry level staff who				
i i	directly supply forestry services to landowners, with a corresponding				
	<u>decrease</u> in the total supply of services as private labor and capital is forced				
	out of the market.				
•	2. Reduces the productivity threshold for QFA from 80% to 50%.				
	a. QFA represents a significant transfer of funds from K-12 children to				
İ	forestland owners. There needs to be a corresponding increase in economic				
	activity which is attained with 80% productivity.				
	3. Separates administration of the Commercial Forest Act (CFA) from the QFA.				
	a. It is essential that administration of CFA and QFA be within the same State				
	Agency so these related tax programs are consistently and efficiently				
SB 1062	managed.				
SD 1002	The productivity threshold should be at 80% and not 50%, even if allowing for a				
L	combination of Qualified Agriculture and Qualified Forest.				

Please call or e-mail if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Gerald Grossman, ACF & CF MI Registered Forester #567